

Acta Crystallographica Section E

## Structure Reports

Online

ISSN 1600-5368

## 2-Amino-5-chloropyridinium nitrate

Donia Zaouali Zgolli, Habib Boughzala\* and Ahmed Driss

Laboratoire de Matériaux et Cristallographie, Faculté des Sciences, El Manar, 2092 Tunis, Tunisia

Correspondence e-mail: donia\_zgolli@hotmail.com


Received 4 October 2009; accepted 11 October 2009

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study;  $T = 299$  K; mean  $\sigma(\text{C}-\text{C}) = 0.003$  Å;  $R$  factor = 0.037;  $wR$  factor = 0.106; data-to-parameter ratio = 15.5.

The title structure,  $\text{C}_5\text{H}_6\text{ClN}_2^+\cdot\text{NO}_3^-$ , is held together by extensive hydrogen bonding between the  $\text{NO}_3^-$  ions and 2-amino-5-chloropyridinium H atoms. The cation-anion  $\text{N}-\text{H}\cdots\text{O}$  hydrogen bonds link the ions into a zigzag-chain which develops parallel to the  $b$  axis. The structure may be compared with that of the related 2-amino-5-cyanopyridinium nitrate.

## Related literature

For metal-organic frameworks involving amine derivatives, see: Manzur *et al.* (2007); Ismayilov *et al.* (2007); Austria *et al.* (2007). For related structures, see: Pourayoubi *et al.* (2007); Rademeyer (2005, 2007); Dai (2008).



## Experimental

## Crystal data

 $\text{C}_5\text{H}_6\text{ClN}_2^+\cdot\text{NO}_3^-$  $M_r = 191.58$ Monoclinic,  $P2_1/c$  $a = 4.788$  (4) Å $b = 13.029$  (3) Å $c = 12.779$  (2) Å $\beta = 101.445$  (18)° $V = 781.3$  (7) Å<sup>3</sup> $Z = 4$ Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation $\mu = 0.46$  mm<sup>-1</sup>  
 $T = 299$  K

0.40 × 0.40 × 0.20 mm

## Data collection

Enraf-Nonius CAD-4  
diffractometerAbsorption correction:  $\psi$  scan  
(North *et al.*, 1968) $T_{\min} = 0.838$ ,  $T_{\max} = 0.914$ 

2057 measured reflections

1691 independent reflections  
1312 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$  $R_{\text{int}} = 0.017$ 2 standard reflections  
frequency: 120 min  
intensity decay: none

## Refinement

 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.037$  $wR(F^2) = 0.106$  $S = 1.02$ 

1691 reflections

109 parameters

H-atom parameters constrained

 $\Delta\rho_{\max} = 0.19$  e Å<sup>-3</sup> $\Delta\rho_{\min} = -0.25$  e Å<sup>-3</sup>

Table 1

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D-H\cdots A$	$D-H$	$H\cdots A$	$D\cdots A$	$D-H\cdots A$
$\text{N2}-\text{H2A}\cdots\text{O3}$	0.86	2.05	2.900 (2)	169
$\text{N2}-\text{H2B}\cdots\text{O1}^i$	0.86	2.06	2.912 (2)	174
$\text{N3}-\text{H3}\cdots\text{O2}$	0.86	1.94	2.800 (2)	179

Symmetry code: (i)  $-x - 1, y + \frac{1}{2}, -z + \frac{3}{2}$ .

Data collection: *CAD-4 EXPRESS* (Enraf-Nonius, 1994); cell refinement: *CAD-4 EXPRESS*; data reduction: *XCAD4* (Harms & Wocadlo, 1995); program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: *ORTEP-3 for Windows* (Farrugia, 1997) and *PLATON* (Spek, 2009); software used to prepare material for publication: *WinGX* (Farrugia, 1999).

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: DN2495).

## References

- Austria, C., Zhang, J. & Valle, H. (2007). *Inorg. Chem.* **46**, 6283–6290.  
 Dai, J. (2008). *Acta Cryst.* **E64**, o1899.  
 Enraf-Nonius (1994). *CAD-4 EXPRESS*. Enraf-Nonius, Delft, The Netherlands.  
 Farrugia, L. J. (1997). *J. Appl. Cryst.* **30**, 565.  
 Farrugia, L. J. (1999). *J. Appl. Cryst.* **32**, 837–838.  
 Harms, K. & Wocadlo, S. (1995). *XCAD4*. Universität de Marburg, Germany.  
 Ismayilov, R. H., Wang, W. Z. & Lee, G. H. (2007). *Dalton Trans.* pp. 2898–2907.  
 Manzur, J., Vega, A. & Garcia, A. M. (2007). *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* **35**, 5500–5510.  
 North, A. C. T., Phillips, D. C. & Mathews, F. S. (1968). *Acta Cryst.* **A24**, 351–359.  
 Pourayoubi, M., Ghadimi, S. & Ebrahimi Valmoozi, A. A. (2007). *Acta Cryst.* **E63**, o4631.  
 Rademeyer, M. (2005). *Acta Cryst.* **E61**, o2496–o2498.  
 Rademeyer, M. (2007). *Acta Cryst.* **E63**, o545–o546.  
 Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). *Acta Cryst.* **A64**, 112–122.  
 Spek, A. L. (2009). *Acta Cryst.* **D65**, 148–155.

**supplementary materials**

*Acta Cryst.* (2009). E65, o2755 [ doi:10.1107/S160053680904149X ]

## 2-Amino-5-chloropyridinium nitrate

D. Zaouali Zgolli, H. Boughzala and A. Driss

### Comment

Derivatives of the aminoacid are of considerable interest in biological activities and there has been an increased interest in the chemistry of amine derivatives because of the construction of novel metal-organic frameworks (Manzur *et al.*, 2007; Ismayilov *et al.*, 2007; Austria *et al.*, 2007). The crystal structures of 2-amino-5-chloropyridine (Pourayoubi *et al.*, 2007) and their 2-Amino-5-cyanopyridinium nitrate (Dai, 2008) and 2-Aminopyridinium nitrate (Rademeyer, 2007) have been reported in literature. In this paper, we present the X-ray single-crystal structure of 2- Amino-5-chloropyridinium nitrate (I).

The title compound (I) contains an organic cation and a  $(\text{NO}_3)^-$  anion (Fig1). The cation is roughly planar with the largest deviation from the mean plane being 0.0553 (9)Å. The  $\text{NO}_3$  anion is slightly twisted with respect to the pyridinium ring making a dihedral angle of 17.2 (1)°

The monoprotonated chloropyridinium cation  $(\text{C}_5\text{H}_6\text{ClN}_2)^+$  and the nitrate anion  $(\text{NO}_3)^-$  are linked by N-H...O which forms a zig-zag like chain parallel to the b axis (Table 1, Fig 2).

The crystal structure of 2-Amino-5-cyanopyridinium nitrate (II) (Dai, 2008) was recently published. The title compound (I) and this related compound (II) are isostructural. In both molecules, the asymmetric unit contains an organic cation 2-Amino-5-Xpyridinium (X: chloride (I),  $\text{C}\equiv\text{N}$  nitrile (II)) and a nitrate anion. They have the same space group ( $P2_1/c$ ) and they are characterized by two-dimensional hydrogen-bonded networks.

The distances and angles of the present 2-amino-5-chloropyridinium nitrate molecule are consistent with the values reported in the literature of 2-aminopyridinium nitrate and 4-aminopyridinium nitrate molecules (Rademeyer 2005;2007).

### Experimental

2-Amino-5-chloropyridine was dissolved in the solution of methanol and nitric acid (0.5 ml). Yellow crystals were obtained after a month on slow evaporation.

### Refinement

All H atoms attached to C atoms and N atoms were fixed geometrically and treated as riding with C—H = 0.93Å and N—H = 0.86 Å with  $U_{\text{iso}}(\text{H}) = 1.2U_{\text{eq}}(\text{C or N})$ .

## Figures




Fig. 1. Representation of the asymmetric unit of 2-amino-5-chloropyridinium nitrate with the atom labeling scheme. Ellipsoids are drawn at the 30% probability level. H atoms are represented as small spheres of arbitrary radii. Hydrogen bonds are shown as dashed lines.





Fig. 2. Partial packing view showing the formation of the zigzag chain parallel to the b axis. H atoms not involved in hydrogen bonding have been omitted for clarity. [Symmetry code: (i)  $-x-1, y+1/2, -z+3/2$ ].

## 2-Amino-5-chloropyridinium nitrate

### Crystal data



$$M_r = 191.58$$

Monoclinic,  $P2_1/c$

Hall symbol:  $-P\ 2ybc$

$$a = 4.788\ (4)\ \text{\AA}$$

$$b = 13.029\ (3)\ \text{\AA}$$

$$c = 12.779\ (2)\ \text{\AA}$$

$$\beta = 101.445\ (18)^\circ$$

$$V = 781.3\ (7)\ \text{\AA}^3$$

$$Z = 4$$

$$F_{000} = 392.0$$

$$D_x = 1.620\ \text{Mg m}^{-3}$$

Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation,  $\lambda = 0.71073\ \text{\AA}$

Cell parameters from 25 reflections

$$\theta = 10\text{--}15^\circ$$

$$\mu = 0.46\ \text{mm}^{-1}$$

$$T = 299\ \text{K}$$

Prism, yellow

$$0.40 \times 0.40 \times 0.20\ \text{mm}$$

### Data collection

Enraf–Nonius CAD-4  
diffractometer

Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube

Monochromator: graphite

$T = 299\ \text{K}$

Nonprofiled  $\omega/2\theta$  scans

Absorption correction:  $\psi$  scan  
(North *et al.*, 1968)

$$T_{\min} = 0.838, T_{\max} = 0.914$$

2057 measured reflections

1691 independent reflections

1312 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$

$$R_{\text{int}} = 0.017$$

$$\theta_{\max} = 27.0^\circ$$

$$\theta_{\min} = 2.3^\circ$$

$$h = -1 \rightarrow 6$$

$$k = -16 \rightarrow 1$$

$$l = -16 \rightarrow 15$$

2 standard reflections

every 120 min

intensity decay: none

### Refinement

Refinement on  $F^2$

Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map

Least-squares matrix: full

$$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.037$$

$$wR(F^2) = 0.106$$

$$S = 1.02$$

1691 reflections

109 parameters

Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods

Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites

H-atom parameters constrained

$$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0566P)^2 + 0.1965P]$$

$$\text{where } P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$$

$$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\max} < 0.001$$

$$\Delta\rho_{\max} = 0.19 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$$

$$\Delta\rho_{\min} = -0.25 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$$

Extinction correction: none

### Special details

**Experimental.** Number of psi-scan sets used was 3 Theta correction was applied. Averaged transmission function was used. No Fourier smoothing was applied (North *et al.*, 1968).

**Geometry.** All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes.

**Refinement.** Refinement of  $F^2$  against ALL reflections. The weighted  $R$ -factor  $wR$  and goodness of fit  $S$  are based on  $F^2$ , conventional  $R$ -factors  $R$  are based on  $F$ , with  $F$  set to zero for negative  $F^2$ . The threshold expression of  $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$  is used only for calculating  $R$ -factors(gt) *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement.  $R$ -factors based on  $F^2$  are statistically about twice as large as those based on  $F$ , and  $R$ -factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

### Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters ( $\text{\AA}^2$ )

	$x$	$y$	$z$	$U_{\text{iso}}^*/U_{\text{eq}}$
C11	0.35469 (12)	0.37026 (4)	0.42068 (4)	0.0558 (2)
N1	-0.4403 (4)	-0.03452 (11)	0.63887 (12)	0.0404 (4)
N2	-0.2955 (4)	0.22776 (13)	0.73365 (13)	0.0484 (4)
H2A	-0.3487	0.1646	0.7301	0.058*
H2B	-0.3440	0.2679	0.7804	0.058*
N3	-0.0639 (3)	0.19881 (11)	0.59367 (12)	0.0373 (3)
H3	-0.1153	0.1356	0.5943	0.045*
C1	-0.1377 (4)	0.26344 (13)	0.66666 (13)	0.0349 (4)
C2	-0.0428 (4)	0.36615 (14)	0.66731 (15)	0.0399 (4)
H2	-0.0854	0.4122	0.7175	0.048*
C3	0.1112 (4)	0.39778 (15)	0.59430 (15)	0.0411 (4)
H3A	0.1751	0.4652	0.5950	0.049*
C4	0.1737 (4)	0.32811 (14)	0.51762 (14)	0.0373 (4)
C5	0.0878 (4)	0.22883 (14)	0.51921 (14)	0.0383 (4)
H5	0.1318	0.1819	0.4701	0.046*
O1	-0.5381 (3)	-0.12309 (10)	0.62043 (12)	0.0545 (4)
O2	-0.2376 (3)	-0.00614 (11)	0.59683 (13)	0.0523 (4)
O3	-0.5384 (4)	0.02366 (12)	0.70018 (14)	0.0635 (4)

## supplementary materials

---

### Atomic displacement parameters ( $\text{\AA}^2$ )

	$U^{11}$	$U^{22}$	$U^{33}$	$U^{12}$	$U^{13}$	$U^{23}$
C11	0.0681 (4)	0.0477 (3)	0.0615 (3)	-0.0101 (2)	0.0366 (3)	-0.0017 (2)
N1	0.0517 (9)	0.0326 (8)	0.0380 (8)	-0.0009 (7)	0.0115 (7)	0.0026 (6)
N2	0.0649 (10)	0.0414 (9)	0.0454 (9)	-0.0019 (8)	0.0269 (8)	-0.0030 (7)
N3	0.0455 (8)	0.0264 (7)	0.0427 (8)	-0.0034 (6)	0.0156 (7)	-0.0047 (6)
C1	0.0387 (9)	0.0339 (8)	0.0324 (8)	0.0019 (7)	0.0081 (7)	-0.0013 (7)
C2	0.0495 (10)	0.0318 (9)	0.0389 (9)	0.0003 (7)	0.0098 (8)	-0.0077 (7)
C3	0.0495 (10)	0.0290 (8)	0.0445 (10)	-0.0039 (7)	0.0088 (8)	-0.0051 (7)
C4	0.0384 (9)	0.0362 (9)	0.0396 (9)	-0.0013 (7)	0.0136 (7)	-0.0006 (7)
C5	0.0444 (9)	0.0333 (9)	0.0406 (9)	-0.0005 (7)	0.0171 (8)	-0.0082 (7)
O1	0.0784 (10)	0.0347 (7)	0.0552 (8)	-0.0152 (7)	0.0251 (8)	-0.0006 (6)
O2	0.0590 (9)	0.0369 (7)	0.0685 (9)	-0.0069 (6)	0.0308 (8)	-0.0010 (6)
O3	0.0788 (11)	0.0513 (9)	0.0708 (10)	-0.0089 (8)	0.0403 (9)	-0.0158 (8)

### Geometric parameters ( $\text{\AA}$ , $^\circ$ )

C11—C4	1.7358 (19)	N3—H3	0.8600
N1—O3	1.247 (2)	C1—C2	1.413 (3)
N1—O1	1.250 (2)	C2—C3	1.363 (3)
N1—O2	1.255 (2)	C2—H2	0.9300
N2—C1	1.333 (2)	C3—C4	1.411 (3)
N2—H2A	0.8600	C3—H3A	0.9300
N2—H2B	0.8600	C4—C5	1.359 (3)
N3—C1	1.355 (2)	C5—H5	0.9300
N3—C5	1.364 (2)		
O3—N1—O1	120.41 (17)	C3—C2—C1	119.96 (16)
O3—N1—O2	120.64 (16)	C3—C2—H2	120.0
O1—N1—O2	118.93 (16)	C1—C2—H2	120.0
C1—N2—H2A	120.0	C2—C3—C4	119.95 (17)
C1—N2—H2B	120.0	C2—C3—H3A	120.0
H2A—N2—H2B	120.0	C4—C3—H3A	120.0
C1—N3—C5	123.36 (15)	C5—C4—C3	119.70 (17)
C1—N3—H3	118.3	C5—C4—C11	120.49 (14)
C5—N3—H3	118.3	C3—C4—C11	119.80 (14)
N2—C1—N3	118.95 (16)	C4—C5—N3	119.23 (16)
N2—C1—C2	123.31 (16)	C4—C5—H5	120.4
N3—C1—C2	117.74 (15)	N3—C5—H5	120.4

### Hydrogen-bond geometry ( $\text{\AA}$ , $^\circ$ )

$D-H\cdots A$	$D-H$	$H\cdots A$	$D\cdots A$	$D-H\cdots A$
N2—H2A $\cdots$ O3	0.86	2.05	2.900 (2)	169
N2—H2B $\cdots$ O1 <sup>i</sup>	0.86	2.06	2.912 (2)	174
N3—H3 $\cdots$ O2	0.86	1.94	2.800 (2)	179

Symmetry codes: (i)  $-x-1, y+1/2, -z+3/2$ .

Fig. 1




Fig. 2

